# Clones No 1333 of *Populus alba* × *P. davidiana* and No 1132 of *P. davidiana* × *P. alba* × *P. daviana*

Wang Jijun(王继军) Liu Yuchun(刘玉纯)
Yingchun Forestry Bureau, Yichun 158403, Heilongjiang, P. R. China
Zhang Fangchun (张方春) Lu Guojun(陆国君) Peng Yongjun(彭勇军)
Yingchun Forestry Bureau, 150822

Abstract The hybridization experiment was initiated in 1975, in which the parents of *P. davidiana* were collected from Dailing, Heilongjiang Province, *P. suaveolens* were from Baicheng, *P. simonii* from Zhaodong of Heilongjiang Province, and *P. tremula* from Shanxi Province. Clones No 1333 of *P. Alba* × *P. davidiana* and No 1132 of *P. davidiana* × (*P. alba* × *P. davidiana* F1) had greater genetic variation and heritability in clones tested.

Key words: Clones, Hybridization, Aspen

## Introduction

Aspen(Populus davidiana Dode) is a pioneering species which is widely distributed, well adapted, and has good quality of timber. It distributes from Ximalaya Mountains, to Daxing'an Mountains and Xiaoxing'an Mountains and from Artai Mountains to Wusulijiang river, covering 23 provinces of China. Usually it is not limited by soil condition and can adapt variable ecological conditions. It can also grow in either drought site or fertile soil, either plain or alpine. It has ever consistent in the wood structure, less diameter of fibre than the other poplar, but longer in length. It can regenerate from seeds or root-sucker.

However, aspen was not fully used for afforestation in the past because it grows slow and has low survival rate or stocking percentage before selection. It is difficult for vagetative propagation and easy to get wood core decayed. Therefore, on the basis of selection, we stress on hybridization of aspen so as to solve relevant technical problem for aspen development and find a way of developing popular from plain to mountain.

## Material and Method

Populus davidiana parents were collected from Dailing, Heilongjiang Province, P. alba and P. russkii from Baicheng, P. simonii from Zhaozhou, Fl of P. simonii x P. nigra from Harbin, P. nigra var thevestina from

Shanxi Province. F1 of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana were planted in 1962.

By means of water culture of cutting for controlled pollination, F1 of P. alba × P. davidiana, P. davidiana × (P. alba × P. davidiana F1), P. simonii × (P. alba × P. davidana F1), P. davidana × (P. simonii × P. nigra F1), P. davidiana × P. russkii, P. nigra var. thevestina × P. davidiana were planted in Shuangcheng, Hulan, and Zhaodong counties of Heilongjiang Province in 1975 or 1976, spaced by 2 m × 2 m, replicated 3 times. Clones of superior hybrids after selection were planted in Shuangchen, Jiangshanjiao, Dongfanghong, Renchun, Zhaodong counties in Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shanxi Provinces, replicated 5-6 times.

This paper was based on the data from Shuangchen, Heilongjiang, in 1990.

### Results

# Analysis of variance of hybrid combination and LSD test

From the results of ANOVA (Table 1), the differences between hybrids in the DBH, height and volume of hybrid combination are significant. LSD multiple comparison test between pairs of hybrids are listed in Table 2. The difference between the DBH of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana (AD), P.  $davidiana \times (P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana F1) (DAD), P.  $simonii \times (P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana F1) (SAD), P.  $davidiana \times P$ . russkii (DR) have no significance. But they have significent difference, compared

with P.  $davidiana \times (P. simonii \times P. nigra)$  (DSN), P. nigra var.  $thevestina \times P$ . davidiana (NTD) (Table 2).

Table 1. Analysis of variance for the growth of hybrid combination

Traits	source	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	F- values	
DBH	Varieties	5	160.11	32.02	3.507*	F(0.05)=2.71
	Replication	4	48.19	12.05		F(0.01)=4.10
	error	20	182.52	9.13		
Height	Varieties	5	70.34	14.07	4.88*	
	Replication	4	23.03	5.76		
	error	20	57.52	2.88		
Volume	Varieties	5	0.06319	0.01264	4.498**	
	Replication	4	0.01729	0.00432		
	error	20	0.05627	0.0281		

Notes:\*\* stands for significance at 0.01 level; \* significance at 0.05 level.

Table 2. LSD test for the DBH of hybrid combination

Hybrid		AD	DAD	SAD	DR	DSN	NTD
combination							
	DBH(cm)	19.00	17.99	16.98	16.74	14.45	12.08
AD	19.00		1.01	2.02	2.26	4.55*	6.92**
DAD	17.99			1.01	1.25	3.54	5.91**
SAD	16.98				0.24	2.53	4.90*
DR	16.74					2.19	4.66*
DSN	14.45						2.37
NTD	12.08						

Notes:\*\*stands for significance at 0.01 level; \* significance at 0.05 level.

Table 3 shows LSD test for the height of hybrid matches. The difference between the growth of height of  $P.\ alba \times P.\ davidiana\ (AD),\ P.\ davidiana\ \times (P.\ alba\ \times P.\ Davidiana\ F1)\ (DAD),\ P.\ simonii\ \times (P.\ alba\ \times P.\ Davidiana\ F1)\ (SAD),\ P.\ davidiana\ \times P.\ russkii\ (DR)$  have no significance. But they have very significent difference, compared with  $P.\ davidiana\ \times (P.\ simonii\ \times P.\ nigra)\ (DSN),\ P.\ nigra\ var.\ Thevestina\ \times P.\ davidiana\ (NTD).$ 

Table 3. LSD test for the height of hybrid combination

Hybrid		AD	DAD	SAD	DR	DSN	NTD
combination	Height(m)	16.6	15.37	15.12	14.53	14.14	11.16
AD	16.6		1.23	1.48	2.07	2.46*	5.44**
DAD	15.37			0.25	0.84	1.23	4.21**
SAD	15.12				0.59	0.98	3.96**
DR	14.53					0.39	3.37**
DSN	14.14						2.98
NTD	11.16						

Notes: \*\* stands for significance at 0.01 level; \* significance at 0.05 level.

P. alba  $\times$  P. davidiana and P. davidiana  $\times$  P. alba  $\times$  P. davidiana were selected as superior hybrids after com-

prchensive survey on morphology, quality, cold-resistence, and C. V. of growth, for example, standard error of diameter of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana is 1.22, P.  $davidiana \times P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana is 1.55, C.V. of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana is 6.4. P.  $davidiana \times P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana is 1.34. All of them are less than the others.

### Selection for superior clone

As mentioned above, P. alba  $\times$  P. davidiana and P. davidiana  $\times$  (P. alba  $\times$  P. davidiana F1) were selected as superior hybrids. 6 clones and 5 clones were selected from both of them, respectively. ANOVA for two years old seedling shows in Table 4. These clones have got high significant difference in height and collar diameter.

From the results of LSR multiple comparison for Aspen hybrid clones (Table 5, 6), clones No.1333 of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana and No. 1132 of P.  $davidiana \times P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana have great significant difference at 0.01 level. So they are selected as superior clones.

Table 4. Analysis of variance the collar diameter and height of aspen hybrids clones

hybrid closes		DF	MS value	MS value
			of D	of H
P. alba × P. davidiana	Varieties	5	0.6140**	0.2770**
	Replication	4	0.0439	0.013
	ентог	20	0.1364	0.00509
P. davidiana × P. alba× P. davidiana	Varieties	4	0.13916**	0.2345**
	Replication	4	0.0170	0.012
	error	16	0.0133	0.0416

Notes:\*\* significance at 0.01 level; \* significance at 0.05 level.

Table 5. LSR test for the collar diameter and height of clones of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana

	No of clones	mean	0.05 level	0.01 level
	1333	1.9	а	A
D	1433	1.59	a	Α
	1534	1.45	a b	Α
	1635	1.32	ь	AB
	1733	1.12	bс	В
	1834	0.91	c	В
Н	1333	1.73	a	Α
	1433	1.59	ь	В
	1534	1.49	e d	ВС
	1635	1.38	d	CD
	1733	1.26	e	D
	1834	1.08	f	Е

The genetic parameters of clonal traits of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana and P.  $davidiana \times P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana

were estimated in Table 7 and 8.

Table 6. LSR test for the collar diameter and height of clones of P. davidiana × P. alba × P. davidiana

	No of clones	mean	0.05 level	0.01 level
	1132	1.7986	a	A
D	1135	1 4580	b	В
	1031	1.2630	c	В
	1035	1.0532	d	(,
	0930	0.8164	e	D
Н	1132	1.6564	a	A
	1135	1.5478	b	AB
	1031	1.4494	e	В
	1035	1.3438	d	C
	0930	1.0902	e	D

Table 7. Genetic parameters of clonal traits of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana

Genetic parameter	D	Н
Mean phenotypic value	1.38	1 42
Mean range	0.68-2.00	0.88-1.82
Genetic variance	0.09552	0.05438
Environmental variance	0.1364	0.00509
Phenotypic variance	0.23192	0.14798
General heritability	<b>77.7</b> 9	98.16
Genetic C. V.	0 2236	0.1642
Phenotypic C. V.	0.3485	0.1717

### Discussion

In clonal test, clone No 1333 of P,  $alha \times P$  davidiana and No 1132 of P,  $davidiana \times P$  alha  $\times P$  davidiana have greater genetic variance and heritability in Diame-

ter and Height. They are improved after selection.

The volume of P.  $alba \times P$ . davidiana 1333 and P.  $davidiana \times P$ .  $alba \times P$ . davidiana are over 2 times than that of control during seedling and 1 times that of control in the middle age of tree. They have also the same character of wood as control.

Table 8. Genetic parameters of clonal traits of *P. davidiana* × *P. alba* × *P. davidiana* 

Genetic parameter	D	н
Mean phenotypic value	1.28	1.42
Mean range	0.55-1.96	0.96-1-72
Genetic variance	0.13916	0.04621
Environmental variance	0.01333	0.00346
Phenotypic variance	0.15246	0.04967
General heritability	91.12	98.52
Genetic C. V.	0.06527	0.1517
Phenotypie C. V.	0.06589	0.1572

Two selected clones have close juvenile -mature correlation. It is predicted that they have the same the characters of cold-resistance and fast-growth as their parents

### References

- 1. Zhang Haiquan. 1958. Characters and propagational methods of Chinese white poplar(In Chinese). Chinese Forest Press, Beijing
- 2. Bai Yangming and Ma Changgeng. 1990. Breeding of new clones of Chinese white poplar(in Chinese). Forest science and technology. 12:19.
- (Responsible Editor: Dai Fangtian)